



Sh P.C Sahotra,
H NO-160, Sector-21,
Panchkula.

... Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Department of
Social Security and Development of Women and Children,
Pb, Sector-34-A, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Complaint Case No. 347 of 2021

PRESENT: None for the Complainant
Ms.Rajwinder Kaur, CDPO Amritsar for the Respondent

ORDER:

The complainant through RTI application dated 17.02.2020 has sought information regarding a Copy of report No.1549 dated 02.09.2019 in respect of the case of Savita Kumari V/s Rajat Sahotra under DV Act 2005 submitted by CDPO-II Amritsar to the court of Smt.Ambika Sharma JMIC District Court, Amritsar and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Department of Social Security and Development of Women and Children, Pb Chandigarh. The complainant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO dated 28.02.2021 after which the complainant filed a complaint in the Commission on 18.03.2021.

The case was first heard on 08.09.2021 through video conferencing at DAC Mohali. The complainant claimed that the PIO has not provided the information.

The respondent was absent without any legitimate reasons for the absence.

Having gone through the reply of the office of Child Development Project Officer, Amritsar, in which the officer had simply denied the information by invoking section 8(1) of the RTI Act was found vague since in the reply, there was no speaking order that why the officer has chosen to use this exemption.

Given the above, there was prima-facie evidence of PIO denying the information intentionally. The PIO was issued a **show-cause notice under Section 20 of the RTI Act 2005 for not supplying the information deliberately and holding the information mala-fidely and directed to file a reply on an affidavit.**

On the date of last hearing on 03.01.2022, both the parties were absent. The Commission received a copy of a letter dated 11.10.2021 of the Joint Director-cum-PIO O/o Director Social Security and Development of Women & Children vide which the Joint Director had directed the PIO-Child Development Project Officer, Amritsar Urban-2 to appear before the Commission on 03.01.2022 alongwith all documents.

The PIO was directed to comply with the earlier order of the Commission and appear personally before the Commission on the next date of hearing along with the reply to the show-cause notice on an affidavit. PIO to also inform who was the PIO at the time of filing of RTI and on the date of issue of show cause notice.

Hearing dated 19.04.2022:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Amritsar. Ms.Rajwinder Kaur, CDPO Amritsar is present and informed that she is the PIO in this case and has brought the information. The respondent further informed that she has just joined the duty on 19.01.2022 and earlier Smt.Meena Devi was the PIO-cum-CDPO and the delay has occurred on her part.

The complainant is absent nor is represented.

The PIO is directed to send the information to the complainant through registered post with a copy to the Commission.

Smt.Meena Kumari (earlier PIO) has however, not filed reply to the show cause notice. Smt.Meena Kumar (earlier CDPO) is given one last opportunity to file reply to the show cause notice and appear before the Commission on the next date of hearing otherwise it will be presumed that she has nothing to say on the matter and the Commission will take penal action against the PIO as per provisions of section 20 of the RTI Act.

The case is adjourned. To come up for further hearing on **05.09.2022** at 11.00 AM through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Amritsar.

Chandigarh
Dated: 19.04.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

CC to PIO-cum-Child Development Project Officer,
Amritsar Urban-2, Amritsar.



Sh Gurlabh Singh, S/o Sh Bharpur Singh,
R/o Village Ahil, Distt Faridkot.

... Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Director,
Health and Family Welfare,
Sector-34-A, Chandigarh.

Respondent

Complaint Case No. 382 of 2021

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The complainant through the RTI application dated 30.11.2020 has sought information regarding not considering the child of a freedom fighter on the post of Medical Lab Technician Grade-2 under 1% Freedom Fighter Quota against 98 posts advertised on 13.07.2020 and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Director Health and Family Welfare, Pb Chandigarh. The complainant was not provided with the information after which the complainant filed a complaint in the Commission on 30.03.2021.

The case first came up for hearing on 08.09.2021 through video conferencing at DAC Faridkot/Mohali. Due to a technical fault in the VC, the hearing could not take place.

The Commission received a copy of a letter dated 06.08.2021 from the PIO through email which was taken on the file of the Commission. Vide this letter, the PIO had sent a reply to the complainant that the information being in question form, cannot be provided under the RTI Act.

On the date of last hearing on **03.01.2022**, as per the complainant, the PIO had not supplied the information.

As per the letter dated 05.10.2021 received from the Superintendent (RTI Branch), the RTI application of 30.11.2020 was transferred to the Superintendent (Establishment-7) Branch on 28.01.2021.

As per record, the reply was sent by the PIO-cum-Suptd.(E-7) to the appellant on 06.08.2021. From these facts there is prima facie evidence that there has been a delay of more than seven months in attending to the RTI application, which is a violation of Section 7 (1) of the RTI Act. The PIO was issued a **show cause notice under section 20 of the RTI Act 2005 and directed to file reply on an affidavit.**

Hearing dated 19.04.2022:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Faridkot. Both the parties are absent.

Earlier order stands. The case is adjourned.

Complaint Case No. 382 of 2021

To come up for further hearing on **_12.09.2022 at 11.00 AM** through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Faridkot. The PIO to appear at Chandigarh.

Chandigarh
Dated: 19.04.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

CC to PIO-cum-Suptd.(E-7)
O/o Director, Health & Family Welfare,
Pb, Sector 34-A, Chandigarh.



Sh Lajpat Rai S/o Sh. Harbans Lal,
R/o Romana Street, Jaito,
Tehsil Jaito, Distt Faridkot.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o SHO, Police Station,
Jaito, Tehsil & Distt Faridkot.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o SSP,
Faridkot.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1619 of 2021

PRESENT: Sh.Lajpat Rai as the Appellant
Sh.Pawandeep Singh, RTI Cell and Sh.Avtar Singh, Munshi for the
Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through the RTI application dated 04.02.2021 has sought information on nine points regarding the letter for installation of CCTV –a copy of dak register and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of SHO Police Station Jaito. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 16.02.2021 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case first came up for hearing on 08.09.2021 through video conferencing at DAC Faridkot. Due to a technical fault in the VC, the hearing could not take place. The case was adjourned.

On the date of last hearing on **03.01.2022** through video conferencing at DAC Faridkot. The Commission observed that the copy of the RTI application with the commission is not legible. The appellant was directed to send a typed legible copy of the RTI application to the Commission with a copy to the PIO.

The PIO was directed to look at the original RTI application and provide whatever information is available in the record.

Hearing dated 19.04.2022:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Faridkot. The appellant has sent a legible typed copy of RTI application which has been taken on record. The appellant claims that the PIO has not supplied the information.

The respondent present from police station Jaito pleaded that the information on point No.1,2,3 & 5 has been sent to the RTI cell and point 4 relates to account branch and point-6 relates to the service branch.

The respondent present from RTI cell informed that the information after collecting from the concerned police station, the case has been marked to the SSP.

After entire arguments, the Commission observes that the PIO of all the information of the District is SSP, hence I am sending this case to the SSP Faridkot with the direction to reconcile the entire case and ensure that all the information as per RTI application which is available in different sections of the Police Department of the District is provided to the appellant.

The case is adjourned. To come up for further hearing on **12.09.2022** at 11.00 AM through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Faridkot.

Chandigarh
Dated:19.04.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

CC to Senior Superintendent of Police,
Faridkot.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.**

Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh.Lajpat Rai, S/o Sh Harbans Lal,
H no-B-3/287, Romana Street,
Jaito, Distt Faridkot.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Addl, Chief Secretary,
Local Govt, Sector-35-A,
Chandigarh

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Addl, Chief Secretary,
Local Govt, Sector-35-A,
Chandigarh

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1706 of 2020

**PRESENT: Sh.Lajpat Rai as the Appellant
None for the the Respondent**

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 20.01.2020 has sought information regarding action taken on the application filed for cancellation of probation period of Ramesh Kumar and Prem Chand – action taken on the complaint dated 13.11.2019 for missing record by Ajay Singh Clerk - and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of Addl.Chief Secretary Local Govt. Punjab Chandigarh. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the first appellate authority on 19.02.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case first came up for hearing on 02.11.2020 through video conferencing at DAC Faridkot. The respondent was absent. The case was adjourned.

On the date of the hearing on **04.01.2021**, both the parties were absent.

Having gone through the file, the Commission observed that there has been an enormous delay in attending to the RTI application and the PIO was absent on 2nd consecutive hearing, the PIO was issued a **show-cause notice under Section 20 of the RTI Act 2005 and directed to file reply on an affidavit.** The PIO was again directed to provide the information within 10 days of the receipt of this order.

On the date of the hearing on **16.08.2021**, the respondent present pleaded that the information relating to point-9 concerning them was denied u/s 8(H) since the matter was under consideration with the Govt. and the reply was sent to the appellant on 13.03.2020. Thereafter, on the recommendation of DC Faridkot, show cause notice was issued to all the employees found responsible and a reply was sent to the appellant vide letter dated 30.07.2021. Further, since the remaining information related to the office of Director, Local Govt., the RTI application was transferred to them u/s 6(3) on 06.03.2020. The PIO-Director Local Govt has also sent information to the appellant vide letters dated 27.02.2020 and 19.03.2020.

The appellant was not satisfied with the information provided and wanted information regarding the action taken by the Principal Secretary Local Govt. on his applications as mentioned in the RTI application.

The PIO was directed to relook at the RTI application and provide whatever action has been taken by the Principal Secretary on the applications of the appellant along with all noting/correspondence. The PIO was also directed to file a reply to the show-cause notice.

On the date of last hearing on **03.01.2022**, the respondent present informed that the information has already been provided to the appellant.

As per the appellant, the PIO had not provided the copy of his complaints along with action taken by Principal Secretary Local Govt. thereon as mentioned in the RTI application.

Hearing both the parties, the PIO was directed to provide information as per earlier order, which still stands and as discussed during the hearing. The PIO is also directed to file a reply to the show-cause notice.

Hearing dated 19.04.2022:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Faridkot. The appellant claims that the PIO has not supplied the information.

The respondent is absent and vide email has sought exemption. In the said email, the PIO has further mentioned that since the matter of action against the employees of MC Jaito is under consideration with the Govt., the information cannot be provided.

The case is adjourned. To come up for further hearing on **12.09.2022 at 11.00 AM** through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Faridkot. The PIO to appear at Chandigarh.

Chandigarh
Dated 19.04.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh.Lajpat Rai, S/o Sh Harbans Lal,
H no-B-3/287, Romana Street,
Jaito, Distt. Faridkot.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Director,
Local Govt. Deptt, Sector-35-A,
Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Director,
Local Govt., Sector-35-A,
Chandigarh

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1702 of 2020

PRESENT: Sh.Lajpat Rai as the Appellant
Smt.Sudha Rani-PIO for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 23.01.2020 has sought information on 15 points regarding action taken on the application filed for cancellation of probation period of Ramesh Kumar and Prem Chand – action taken on the complaint dated 13.11.2019 for misplacing the record by Ajay Singh Clerk - and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of Director Local Govt. Punjab Chandigarh. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the first appellate authority on 20.02.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case first came up for hearing on 02.11.2020 through video conferencing at DAC Faridkot. The respondent was absent. The case was adjourned.

On the date of the next hearing on **04.01.2021**, both the parties were absent.

Having gone through the file, the Commission observed that there has been an enormous delay in attending to the RTI application and the PIO was absent on 2nd consecutive hearing, the PIO was issued a **show-cause notice under Section 20 of the RTI Act 2005 and directed to file reply on an affidavit.** The PIO was again directed to provide the information within 10 days of the receipt of this order.

On the date of the hearing on **16.08.2021**, as per the appellant, the PIO had supplied information on 09.04.2020 which was incomplete.

The respondent was absent on 3rd consecutive hearing nor has sent a reply to the show-cause notice as well as not provided the complete information.

The PIO was given one last opportunity to provide complete information and file a reply to the show-cause notice otherwise it will be construed that the PIO has nothing to say on the matter and a decision will be taken accordingly.

On the date of last hearing on **03.01.2022**, The appellant informed that the PIO has not provided the complete information.

The respondent was absent. The Commission received a reply from the PIO on 23.09.2021 which was taken on the file of the Commission.

Hearing dated 19.04.2022:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Faridkot. The respondent PIO is present at Chandigarh and informed that the appellant has already inspected the record and the information(99 pages) as identified by the appellant has been provided.

The appellant however, claims that the PIO has only supplied the information on points 8 & 11 but no information on remaining points has been provided.

Having gone through the RTI application and hearing both the parties, the Commission directs the PIO to relook at the RTI application and provided information on all points as discussed during the hearing and send a compliance report to the Commission.

The PIO is also directed to file reply to the show cause notice.

The case is adjourned. To come up for further hearing on **12.09.2022 at 11.00 AM** through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Faridkot. The PIO to appear at Chandigarh.

Chandigarh
Dated :19.04.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Smt Mandeep Kaur, D/o Sh Baljinder Singh,
R/o Village Meerpur, P.O Kheri Naudh Singh,
Tehsil Khamanoo, Distt Fatehgarh Sahib.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Director of Education SGPC,
Bahadurgarh, Patiala.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Director of Education SGPC,
Bahadurgarh, Patiala.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1277 of 2021

PRESENT: None for both the parties

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 03.12.2020 has sought information regarding recruitment of Assistant Professors (History) in Mata Gujri College Fatehgarh Sahib against advertisement No.306/2020 dated 04.09.2020 by SGPC Bahadurgarh Patiala and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of Director of Education, SGPC Patiala. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 08.01.2021 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case first came up for hearing on 20.07.2021 through video conferencing at DAC Patiala. The appellant claimed that the PIO has not provided the information.

The respondent was absent. The PIO was directed to provide the information to the appellant within 15 days and send a compliance report to the Commission. The PIO was also directed to appear before the Commission on the next date of hearing along with an explanation for not attending to the RTI application within the time prescribed under the RTI Act.

On the date of next hearing on **14.09.2021**, both the parties were absent. The case was adjourned.

On the date of last hearing on **04.01.2022**, the respondent Sh.Simarjit Singh was present at Amritsar and informed that the information has already been sent to the appellant.

Because of the non-presence of the staff in the DC office Patiala due to farmers' protests, the appellant could not be heard. The case was adjourned.

Hearing dated 19.04.2022:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Patiala. Both the parties are absent.

The case is adjourned. To come up for further hearing on **12.09.2022** at 11.00 AM through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Patiala

Chandigarh
Dated : 19.04.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commission



Sh. Jaspal Singh S/o Sh Surjit Singh,
H No-157, Street NO-8-A,
Manjit Nagar, Bhadson Road,
Patiala.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o DC,
Patiala.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o DC,
Patiala.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3447 of 2020

PRESENT: Sh.Jaspal Singh as the Appellant
None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 27.07.2020 has sought information regarding forms A, B,C, D deposited by unaided school u/s 3(2) of Punjab Regulation of fee of unaided educational Institutions Act 2016 and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of DC Patiala. The appellant was not satisfied with the reply of PIO dated 24.08.2020 after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 31.08.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case first came up for hearing on 28.07.2021 through video conferencing at DAC Patiala. The appellant claimed that the PIO has not supplied the information.

The respondent was absent without intimation.

Having gone through the RTI application, the PIO was directed to provide whatever information is available in the record relating to points-2 to point-6. The decision on point-1 will be taken on the next date of hearing.

On the date of the next hearing on **28.09.2021**, due to a technical fault in the VC, the hearing could not take place. The case was adjourned.

On the date of last hearing on **04.01.2022**, due to the non-presence of the staff in the DC Office Patiala because of farmers' protest the hearing could not take place. The case was adjourned.

Hearing dated 19.04.2022:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Patiala. The appellant claims that the PIO has not supplied the information.

The respondent is absent nor is represented. There has been an enormous delay of more than one and half year in providing the information. The Commission has taken a serious view of this and hereby directs the PIO to **show cause why penalty be not imposed on him under section 20 of the RTI Act 2005 for not supplying the information within the statutorily prescribed period of time. He/she should file an affidavit in this regard.** If there are other persons responsible for the delay in providing the information, the PIO is directed to inform such person(s) of the show cause and direct them to appear before the Commission along with the written replies.

Appeal Case No. 3447 of 2020

The PIO is again directed to provide information to the appellant within 15 days of the receipt of the order.

The case is adjourned. To come up for further hearing on **12.09.2022 at 11.00 AM** through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Patiala.

Chandigarh
Dated: 19.04.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Jaspreet Singh,
2041/5, Lahill Colony,
Patiala .

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o District Controller,
Food Supplies and Consumer Affairs,
Patiala.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Food Civil Supplies and Consumer Affairs,
Pb, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3532 of 2020

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Sh.Gurmeet Singh, Law Officer for the Respondent

ORDER:

The case was first heard on 23.03.2021 through video conferencing at DAC Patiala. The appellant claimed that the PIO has not provided the information.

The respondent present pleaded that they received the RTI application from the appellant on 18.06.2020 and since the information is voluminous and was to be called from different branches, after calling the details of information, the appellant was asked to vide letter dated 06.07.2020 to deposit the requisite fee of Rs.30000/- which the appellant did not deposit.

The respondent further informed that some of the information regarding points 3 & 6 relates to 3rd parties since the appellant has asked for complete files of the shellers in the area which includes personal information of the owners of the rice shellers.

Having gone through the RTI application and hearing both the parties, the appellant was directed to inspect the record by visiting the office of the PIO on 05.04.2021 at 12.00 Noon and get the relevant information after depositing the requisite fee as per the RTI Act. The PIO was directed to allow inspection of the record and provide the relevant information to the appellant except for information on points 3 & 6, the decision on which will be taken at the next date of hearing.

On the date of the hearing on **09.06.2021**, the appellant informed that he visited the office of the PIO on 05.04.2021 but could inspect only 3 Registers out of 38 Registers and concerned files. The appellant stated that since the information is voluminous, he needs 15 continuous days to inspect the file and specify the information.

The case was adjourned.

On the date of the hearing on **16.08.2021**, the appellant reiterated his plea that since the information is voluminous, he needs 15 days to inspect the record and specify the information.

Appeal Case No. 3532 of 2020

The appellant was directed to file a written submission giving reasons that why this record is important for the appellant, and why should he be given rights to inspect the record for such a lengthy period, as prima facie it is evident that it will clearly divert the resources and hamper the functioning of the public authority.

On the date of last hearing on **04.01.2022**, due to the non-presence of the staff in the DC office Patiala due to the farmers' protest the hearing could not take place. The case was adjourned.

Hearing dated 19.04.2022:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Patiala. The appellant is absent nor has filed his written submission as per order of the Commission

The case is adjourned. To come up for further hearing on **12.09.2022 at 11.00 AM** through a video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Patiala.

Chandigarh
Dated 19.04.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Sandeep Joshi,
273/6, Ward No-9, Taraori,
Distt. Karnal, Haryana.

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o SMO, Civil Hospital,
Mandi Gobindgarh,
Distt Fatehgarh Sahib.

...Respondent

Complaint No. 524 of 2021

PRESENT: None for the Complainant
None for the Respondent

ORDER: Facts of the case:

That the complainant through an RTI application dated 10.04.2021 has sought information regarding the detail of admission/treatment of Vaibhav Joshi s/o Sandeep Joshi along with names of doctors who attended the patient – detail of the post of Dr Wali Mohd. Action if any to be taken if he is practising at home even being in Govt. service - and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of SMO Civil Hospital, Mandi Gobindgarh. The complainant was not provided with the information provided after which the complainant filed a complaint in the commission on 29.04.2021. The complainant had filed a complaint under Life & Liberty, however, the Hon'ble CIC has ordered to consider the case under the general category.

That the case first came up for hearing on 04.10.2021 through video conferencing at DAC Fatehgarh Sahib. Both the parties were absent.

Having gone through the record, the Commission observed that the RTI application was filed on 10.04.2021 and there was nothing on file that establishes that the PIO has addressed the RTI application. There has been an enormous delay of six months in attending to the RTI application. The PIO was issued a **show cause notice under Section 20 of the RTI Act 2005 and directed to file reply on an affidavit.** The PIO was again directed to provide information to the complainant within 15 days of the receipt of the order.

That on the date of last hearing on **03.01.2022**, both the parties were absent.

The PIO also did not file reply to the show cause notice. The PIO was given one last opportunity to file reply to the show cause notice otherwise it will be presumed that the PIO has nothing to say in the matter and the Commission will take decision ex-parte. The PIO is also directed to inform who was the PIO at the time of filing of RTI and on the date of issue of show cause notice.

That the case has come up for **hearing today through video** conferencing at DAC Patiala. The PIO is absent on 3rd consecutive hearing nor has filed reply to the show cause notice. There is nothing on record which shows that the RTI application has been attended to by the PIO.

Keeping the above-mentioned facts of the case, it is clear that the PIO-SMO Civil Hospital, Mandi Gobindgarh, District Fatehgarh Sahib is flouting the spirit of the RTI Act continuously. The PIO has not only shown utter disregard for the Commission's repeated orders to provide the information but has shown willful stubbornness in not appearing before the commission despite various orders of the Commission.

Complaint No. 524 of 2021

To secure an erring PIO's presence before the commission, the Information Commission is empowered to issue warrants to the PIO Under Section 18(3) of the RTI Act. A bailable Warrant of the PIO-SMO-Civil Hospital, Mandi Gobindgarh, District Fatehgarh Sahib is hereby issued through Senior Superintendent of Police, Fatehgarh Sahib for his presence before the Commission on **29.06.2022**.

To come up for further hearing on **29.06.2022** at 11.00.

Chandigarh
Dated: 19.04.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

**BAILABLE WARRANT OF PRODUCTION
BEFORE
SHRI KHUSHWANT SINGH
STATE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER,
PUNJAB AT CHANDIGARH**

In case: Sandeep Joshi V/s SMO-Civil Hospital, Mandi Gobindgarh,
District Fatehgarh Sahib.

APPEAL CASE NO.524/2021

UNDER SECTION 18 OF THE RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT, 2005

Next Date of Hearing: **29.06.2022**

To

**The Senior Superintendent of
Police, Fatehgarh Sahib**

Whereas PIO-SMO, Civil Hospital, Mandi Gobindgarh, District Fatehgarh Sahib has failed to appear before the State Information Commissioner, Punjab despite the issuance of notice/summon in the above mentioned appeal case. Therefore, you are hereby directed to serve this bailable warrant to the PIO-SMO, Civil Hospital, Mandi Gobindgarh, District Fatehgarh Sahib to appear before the undersigned at Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh on **29.06.2022 at 11.00 A.M.**

**Chandigarh
Dated: 19.04.2022**

**(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner**